

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,993	12/06/2004	Sami Uskela	089229.00042	1328	
32294 7590 SOURE, SANDERS & DEMPSIEY LL.P. 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE 14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, SIMON		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

periods:

THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/516,993	USKELA, SAMI				
fore the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SIMON D. NGUYEN	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						

a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_ Claim(s) rejected: \_ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: May 21, 2008 /SIMON D NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Remarks filed 4/9/08 stated that the prior art fails to teach or suggest "one PDP context with a plurality of IP addresses" After carefully reviewing the prior art references with the arguments in Remarks, the Examiner diagrees with the Applicant for the following reasons: a) Lord discloses numerous embodiments, one of that for connecting a single PDP context to a plurality of IP addresses, the Lord's reference discloses that "when the cronnects on the IP network, instead of the network assigning a single IP address for a single device to the user device, the network performs a single PDP context activation procedure, but allocate a network address comprising the number of unique individual IP addresses' (column 4 lines 15-40) "or other place" the network IP address comprising a plurality of devices (TEs) with a plurality of IP addresses (column 4 lines 5-13), wherein each IP address is a unique IP address (column 3 lines 44-45). From the above quotes, Lord indeed discloses a single PDP context connected to a plurality of IP addresses for packet transmission; b) secondly, according to the SPEC of your application (10/516,993 or (United States Patent Application Publication (US 2005/0237990 A1, figs, 2-3, paragraphs 30, 35-37, 40)) discloses a MS having a plurality of TEs, each TE has a unique IP address in which a single PDP context connected to the plurality of IP addresses (reserved in which a single PDP DP context connected and the plurality of IP addresses (reserved in which a single PDP context connected to a plurality of IP addresses (reserved in which a single PDP DP context connected to a plurality of IP addresses (reserved in which a single PDP DP context connected and addressed in the plurality of IP addresses (reserved in which a single PDP DP context connected to the plurality of IP addresses (reserved in which a single PDP DP context connected to the plurality of IP addresses (reserved in which a single PDP DP co